

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
WESTERN DIVISION

CASE NO: 5:17-MJ-1248

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v

*Courtney Davis*

}  
} DEFENDANT'S  
} MOTION TO CONTINUE  
} (18 USC 3161(h)(8))

Pursuant to 18 USC 3161 (h)(8) and to Local Rule 4.11, the Defendant respectfully requests that the above-captioned case be continued from the present docket. In support of this motion, the Defendant sets forth the following:

1. \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
2. This is the 1st continuance filed by the Defendant in the present case. The Special Assistant United States Attorney ~~does not~~ does object to this motion.
3. I understand that if this motion is granted, I must next appear in court at 8:00 a.m. on 7/12/17 court docket and that failure to appear may result in the issuance of an arrest warrant.

Submitted on: 05/03/2017

x   
Defendant or Attorney for the Defendant

**INITIAL DOCKET:**

The court finds that the ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance outweigh the best interest of the public in a speedy trial for the following reason(s):

- Failure to do so would likely result in a **miscarriage of justice**.
- The **unusual nature or complexity of the case** makes it unreasonable to expect the Defendant to adequately prepare for pretrial proceedings or trial within the time limits set forth in 18 USC 3161.
- Failure to do so would deny the Defendant reasonable **time to obtain counsel**;
- Failure to do so would unreasonably deny the Defendant **continuity of counsel**;
- Failure to do so would deny the Defendant the reasonable **time necessary for effective preparation**, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

Accordingly, the continuance is ALLOWED. The intervening time from 5/3/17 to 7/12/17 is excluded from speedy trial computation under 18 USC 3161.

Date 5/3/17

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE